

National Infrastructure PlanningCustomer Services:0303 444 5000Temple Quay Housee-mail:RiversideEP@plannninginspectorate.gov.uk2 The SquareBristol, BS1 6PN

Sent to the Applicant and London Borough of Bexley Your Ref:

Our Ref: EN010093

Date: 30 August 2019

Dear Sir/ Madam

The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010 (as amended) – Rule 17

Application by Cory Riverside Energy for an Order Granting Development Consent for the Riverside Energy Park

Notification of request for further information

In the light of the content of written submissions received from the Applicant and interested parties on 16 August 2019 (Deadline 6), I have decided to request that relevant named parties provide further information in response to questions, which I put under Rule 17 of the Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010.

1. Request for further information

My questions are being asked in order to seek additional information or clarification on raised matters. I am seeking to ensure that there is sufficient evidence to support a soundly reasoned recommendation.

1.1 Comments on earlier submissions

1.1.1 **The Applicant** is requested to respond to London Borough of Havering's response [REP6-009] to the Examining Authority's Further Written Questions [PD-012], which states that predicted concentration of Nickel and Chromium VI emissions generate a significant or potentially significant impact on Rainham resulting in exposure to harmful emissions of hundreds of properties and specify any additional mitigation measures that can be employed to reduce this residual impact?

1.2 Biodiversity

1.2.1 **The Applicant** is requested to please state whether it expects to be able to give any further commitment or certainty before the end of the Examination confirming the amount, quality and the location of the biodiversity off-setting, proposed in the Outline Biodiversity Landscape Mitigation Strategy to be delivered through the Environment Bank approach?

- 1.2.2 **The Applicant -** If further certainty confirming the amount, quality and location of the biodiversity off-setting is not provided it is possible that I may conclude that adequate mitigation has not been provided for the loss of open mosaic habitat resulting in a significant adverse effect in terms of biodiversity. Please will the Applicant comment on this possible conclusion.
- 1.2.3 **London Borough of Bexley** is requested to comment on whether in the absence of further certainty on biodiversity off-setting provided during the course of the Examination it considers that there would be a significant adverse effect in terms of biodiversity and if so whether this would be outweighed by the benefits of the proposed development.

Responses to these questions must be received on or before **13 September 2019**.

Yours faithfully

Jonathan Green

Jonathan Green Examining Authority